

Sustainability-related disclosures

Aviva Investors – Global Emerging Markets Equity Unconstrained Fund (the “Sub-Fund”)

For financial products that promote environmental or social characteristics, financial market participants shall publish the information referred to in Article 10(1) of Regulation (EU) EN 32 EN 2019/2088 and Articles 25 to 36 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022.

(a) ‘Summary’

The Sub-Fund invests in the equities of emerging market companies. The Sub-Fund is actively managed with an unconstrained and flexible investment style, allowing the Investment Manager the freedom to invest in the broadest range of companies possible. This will include investing in market leading and mature companies which offer superior earnings growth and sustainable dividends respectively, as well as identifying companies which are out of favour at a particular point in time, but where there is a specific opportunity for the company’s value to increase in the future

The Investment Manager actively engages with issuers with the aim of positively influencing climate-related behavior (alongside other environmental, social and governance behaviour) and helping to create competitive returns.

In addition, the Sub-Fund has exclusions based on Aviva Investors’ Baseline Exclusion Policy.

The Investment Manager’s policy considers global best practice guidelines such as the ICGN Global Corporate Governance Principles and the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance but are also informed by the Investment Manager’s investment philosophy and numerous years of stewardship and voting experience.

The Sub-Fund will only invest in securities from issuers that maintain governance practices in-line with national governance standards. The Sub-Fund will not invest in securities from issuers that are in violation of the international norms and conventions set out by the United Nations Global Compact Principles. The investment manager will avoid investment in securities from issuers that fail to protect the basic rights of investors and employees through sound management practices or that are involved in tax evasion, corruption, or other governance scandals, unless they have taken adequate remedial action.

The good governance criteria as outlined in the SFDR will be met through a combination of the UNGC (as part of the Baseline Exclusions Policy noted above) and a qualitative assessment as part of the investment analyst research process. Good governance indicators form a substantial component of the Investment Manager’s ESG scoring tools and ESG research.

Aviva Investors’ ESG function, comprising governance and responsible investment specialists, provide analysis to support the Investment Manager’s investment teams and help them in building a robust assessment of good governance practices.

It is anticipated that the sustainability indicators reported on for this Fund will include, but not be limited to:

1. Portfolio metric of any revenue derived from excluded activity (e.g., thermal coal)
2. UNGC ‘severe’ and ‘very severe’ incidents avoided and commentary on any exceptions.
3. Additionally, the Sub-Fund will measure exposure to the Principle Adverse Impacts Indicators that the Investment Manager has committed to prioritising in it’s Principle Adverse Impact Statement. For reference these include:
 - i. Indicators relating to Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions
 - ii. Indicators pertaining to activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas
 - iii. Indicators relating to Board Gender Diversity

Our primary data usage involves revenue screening to assess the percentage of an issuer’s revenues derived from business activities that align with the Fund’s Environmental and Social characteristics, or that conflict

with ESG principles, as well as the principle adverse indicators we have committed to prioritise as a firm, and will integrate for consideration by Fund Manager.

We use third party vendors for certain data and as such rely upon their methodologies which may mean that some data is estimated and/or that Aviva Investors may, from time to time, incorrectly assess a security, issuer or index. Aviva Investors will review its relationship with third party ESG data providers on a periodic basis, taking appropriate action or escalation where this is deemed necessary.

Aviva Investors operates a fully integrated approach to investment and ownership, combining the skills of our fund managers, investment analysts and ESG specialists across asset classes. During daily, weekly and quarterly discussion forums, we will continually monitor an entity's management and performance, including developments which may have a significant impact on valuation or risk profile. As part of our analysis, we track areas of performance, including management of key ESG areas.

We believe that persistent and constructive dialogue with issuers, corporates and sovereign representatives is vital to preserve and enhance the value of assets on behalf of our beneficiaries and clients. There are specific policies and procedures which provide the governance and control of the fund exclusions and where applicable related divestment activity. These policies and procedures are focussed on a specific Exclusion Policy, and the embedding of Good Governance.

An index has not been designated as a reference benchmark to meet the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

(b) 'No sustainable investment objective

This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics, but does not have as its objective sustainable investment.

(c) 'Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product

Whilst the Sub-Fund may invest in underlying investments that contribute to climate change mitigation and/or climate change adaptation, the Sub-Fund does not make any minimum commitment to invest in one or more environmentally sustainable investments and does not intend to align to the screening criteria laid out in the EU Taxonomy. To be eligible for investment, all investments that are selected as part of the Investment Manager's ESG analysis must follow good governance practices and not be excluded by the Investment Manager's ESG Baseline Exclusions Policy. See section G.

In February 2021, Aviva Investors announced its Climate Engagement Escalation Programme (CEEP) which will require 30 companies regarded as 'systemically important carbon emitters' to deliver net zero scope 3 emissions by 2050 and establish robust transition roadmaps to demonstrate their commitment to immediate action on climate change as the world's carbon budget diminishes. The programme will run for between one and three years, depending on individual company circumstances, and incorporate clear escalation measures for non-responsive businesses or those that do not act quickly enough. Aviva Investors is committed to full divestment of targeted companies that fail to meet its climate expectations. Divestments will apply across the firm's equity and debt exposures.

(d) 'Investment strategy

The Sub-Fund invests mainly in the equities of emerging market companies. Specifically, at all times, the Sub-Fund invests at least 80% of total net assets (excluding ancillary liquid assets, eligible deposits, money market instruments and money market funds) in equities and equity-related securities of emerging market companies and non-emerging market companies that are listed or do most of their business in emerging markets. The Sub-Fund is actively managed with an unconstrained and flexible investment style, allowing the Investment Manager the freedom to invest in the broadest range of companies possible. This will include investing in market leading and mature companies which offer superior earnings growth and sustainable

dividends respectively, as well as identifying companies which are out of favour at a particular point in time, but where there is a specific opportunity for the company's value to increase in the future.

Sustainability Risk indicators are considered, alongside a range of financial and non-financial research. The sustainability risks or impacts are weighed against all other inputs when considering an investment decision, with no specific limits imposed, therefore the Investment Manager retains discretion over which investments are selected.

The Investment Manager actively engages with issuers with the aim of positively influencing climate-related behavior (alongside other environmental, social and governance behaviour) and helping to create competitive returns.

In addition, the Sub-Fund has exclusions based on Aviva Investors' Baseline Exclusion Policy as noted under section G).

Good Governance

The Investment Manager's policy considers global best practice guidelines such as the ICGN Global Corporate Governance Principles and the G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance but are also informed by the Investment Manager's investment philosophy and numerous years of stewardship and voting experience.

The Sub-Fund will only invest in securities from issuers that maintain governance practices in-line with national governance standards. The Sub-Fund will not invest in securities from issuers that are in violation of the international norms and conventions set out by the United Nations Global Compact Principles. The investment manager will avoid investment in securities from issuers that fail to protect the basic rights of investors and employees through sound management practices or that are involved in tax evasion, corruption, or other governance scandals, unless they have taken adequate remedial action.

The good governance criteria as outlined in the SFDR will be met through a combination of the UNGC (as part of the Baseline Exclusions Policy noted above) and a qualitative assessment as part of the investment analyst research process where there is appropriate coverage. Good governance indicators form a substantial component of the Investment Manager's ESG scoring tools and ESG research.

Aviva Investors' ESG function, comprising governance and responsible investment specialists, provide analysis to support the Investment Manager's investment teams and help them in building a robust assessment of good governance practices.

(e) 'Proportion of investments

It is expected that at least 80% of investments will be aligned with the environmental/social characteristics of the Sub-Fund where possible, as described above. Amongst the investments aligned with the environmental/social characteristics of the Sub-Fund, there will be no sustainable investments as defined under SFDR, and the Sub-Fund will not be aligning to the screening criteria set out under the EU Taxonomy. There may on occasion be investments in financial techniques and instruments and derivatives used for hedging and efficient portfolio management purposes (or liquidity holding purposes (such as ancillary liquid assets, money market instruments, money market funds, bank deposits and cash FX). There will be no minimum safeguards applied to these investments.

(f) 'Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics

It is anticipated that the sustainability indicators reported on for this Sub-Fund will include, but not be limited to:

1. Portfolio metric of any revenue derived from excluded activity (e.g., thermal coal)

2. UNGC 'severe' and 'very severe' incidents avoided and commentary on any exceptions.
3. Additionally, the Sub-Fund will measure exposure to the Principle Adverse Impacts Indicators that the Investment Manager has committed to prioritising in its Principle Adverse Impact Statement. For reference these include:
 - a. Indicators relating to Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions
 - b. Indicators pertaining to activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas
 - c. Indicators relating to Board Gender Diversity

More broadly, PAIs are available in portfolio management systems, and the Investment Manager considers these indicators alongside all other relevant ESG and financial metrics that inform its assessment of sustainability risk.

(g) 'Methodologies'

The below criteria are binding on the investment process to ensure underlying investments are inclusive of those securities promoting environmental or social characteristics:

- A. Aviva Investors' firm-wide baseline exclusions policy which includes the following exclusions:
- Controversial weapons including nuclear weapons
 - Civilian firearms
 - Thermal Coal
 - Non-conventional fossil fuels (arctic oil and tar sands)
 - Breaches of principles of the UN Global Compact ("UNGC"); and
 - Tobacco.

The exclusions are based on:

1. A maximum acceptable percentage of estimated revenue derived from the specific activities; the maximum acceptable percentage of revenue thresholds are:
 - Controversial weapons 0%, except for nuclear weapons which are at 5%
 - Civilian firearms 5%
 - Thermal Coal 5%*
 - Non-conventional fossil fuels (arctic oil and tar sands) at 10%*
 - Tobacco producers at 0% and tobacco distribution or sale at 25%

* Companies that have an approved SBTi (Science Based Target) which has a classification of 1.5°C or Well Below 2°C are an exception to these thresholds.

2. MSCI's controversy screening data to identify recent controversies to the Principles set out under the UN Global Compact. An AI ESG Analyst qualitative assessment is additive to this process to confirm if the failings are irredeemable based on company behaviours since the controversy. If we consider failings to be redeemable, we will place the company into a structured & time bound engagement program.

B. In February 2021, Aviva Investors announced its Climate Engagement Escalation Programme (the "CEEP"). Companies are identified to be included on the CEEP based on their contribution to global carbon emissions, covering Scope 1, 2 and 3 on an annual basis. Focus companies are assessed against our six-pronged evaluation framework and assigned an overall alignment "score" (0 to 40) and corresponding "level" (laggard, limited, progressive, leader) reflecting the extent to which they are considered aligned to a "net-zero" pathway. A bespoke engagement Programme is then designed, including specific time-bound change facilitation requests to strengthen their climate approach. Progress is monitored continuously, and a formal written assessment undertaken on a bi-annual basis. This will determine candidacy for further escalation through engagement, voting, and – in certain cases– divestment.

(h) 'Data sources and processing'

Our primary data usage involves revenue screening to assess the percentage of an issuer's revenues derived from business activities that align with the Sub-Fund's Environmental and Social characteristics, or that conflict with ESG principles, as well as the principle adverse indicators we have committed to prioritise as a firm, and will integrate for consideration by Fund Managers as detailed above. Issuer names captured under the Aviva Investors Baseline Exclusions Policy are updated twice a year, on a six-monthly basis. PAI data is obtained on a monthly basis. Aviva Investors commits to reporting on the PAI's disclosed above, in accordance with our regulatory obligations.

We have reviewed a variety of market leading ESG data providers to ensure that we have procured quality data. Aviva Investors will review its relationship with third party ESG data providers on a periodic basis, taking appropriate action or escalation where this is deemed necessary.

We use third party vendors for certain data and as such rely upon their methodologies which may mean that some data is estimated. However, we do not consider estimates to be a material part of the data used in our investment process.

(i) 'Limitations to methodologies and data

The regulatory environment and the reporting requirements for issuers are developing at different speeds and there is not always a consistent standard across jurisdictions, sectors and/or companies. Furthermore, the methodologies of data providers differ significantly and therefore there may be circumstances where portfolios or companies have drastically different ESG scores/ratings amongst providers.

We have access to multiple data sources which we constantly review. Our goal is to ensure we have broad and deep coverage of information to enable a sound consideration of sustainability factors. Any unavoidable gaps in data availability are mitigated by our side-by-side qualitative assessment of ESG factors. As a result the data limitations do not affect the attainment of the Sub-Fund's Environmental and Social characteristics.

Data from third party data providers may be incomplete, inaccurate, or unavailable. Where we seek to rely on proprietary models these may similarly rely on information, which is incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable. As a result, there is a risk that Aviva Investors may, from time to time, incorrectly assess a security, issuer or index. There is also a risk that Aviva Investors, or the third-party data providers on which we may depend, may not interpret or apply the relevant ESG characteristics correctly. Aviva Investors does not warrant the fairness, accuracy or completeness of any data used, or assessment made.

(j) 'Due diligence

ESG analysis, whilst important, is a piece amongst many of the mosaic that comprises the fundamental equity analysis on a name that leads to an investment recommendation, and if the name makes it into the portfolio.

There are specific policies and procedures which provide the governance and control of the sub-fund exclusions and where applicable related divestment activity. These policies and procedures are focussed on a specific Exclusion Policy, and the embedding of Good Governance.

The Aviva Investors risk and controls framework encompasses a combination of strategies, policies, culture, governance arrangements, tools and reporting procedures. Appropriate controls are developed and embedded to adequately meet business strategic goals and to minimise current and future risk to an acceptable risk exposure level. We have formal controls relating to due diligence on assets. Second line consists of risk and compliance functions (directly reporting to the CRO), who act as a partner to the business and whose core purpose is to independently challenge, advise and guide the business in the delivery of its strategy and plans, whilst safeguarding its customers and shareholders. A key part of our risk and control framework is the completion of independent second-line reviews. Such reviews are conducted by assessing the design and completeness of key controls that are in place and will also cover thematic areas informed by the risk-based compliance monitoring programme.

(k) 'Engagement policies

Effective and responsible active ownership has long been part of our fundamental approach to investment at Aviva Investors. We believe that persistent and constructive dialogue with issuers, corporates and sovereign representatives is vital to preserve and enhance the value of assets on behalf of our beneficiaries and clients. This is achieved through voicing our support for more sustainable practices and gathering insights to inform investment decisions. Through written correspondence, face-to-face meetings, phone calls and more collaborative formats, we encourage sovereigns and companies to consider the whole picture of sustainability because this is how they will create the greatest return for investors while helping to build a better future for society.

Aviva Investors operates a fully integrated approach to investment and ownership, combining the skills of our fund managers, investment analysts and ESG specialists across asset classes. During daily, weekly and quarterly discussion forums, we will continually monitor an entity's management and performance, including developments which may have a significant impact on valuation or risk profile. As part of our analysis, we track areas of performance, including management of key ESG areas. If we feel we do not have enough information or have identified gaps, improvements in an entity's awareness or management of their ESG risks and opportunities, we will establish dialogue. This dialogue will be conducted in close cooperation with, and often led by, portfolio managers and research analysts. Key insights are disseminated in written company, industry and thematic notes to feed into idea generation, analysis, forecasts and conclusions about further escalation.

(l) where an index is designated as a reference benchmark to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product, 'Designated reference benchmark'

An index has not been designated as a reference benchmark to meet the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product